# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: COOK MEDICAL, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2440

#### TRANSFER ORDER

**Before the Panel:** Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, plaintiffs in seven actions move to centralize this litigation in the Southern District of West Virginia. Plaintiffs in two actions pending in Alabama support the motion. Common defendants¹ oppose the motion for centralization. Defendant WL Gore & Assoc. (Gore), also named as a defendant in the Middle District of Georgia *Sitten* action, opposes inclusion of the claims against Gore in centralized proceedings and requests severance of those claims and remand to the transferor court. If the Panel deems centralization to be appropriate, Cook suggests centralization in the Middle District of Tennessee or the Southern District of Indiana. At present, the litigation consists of thirteen actions pending in eight districts, as listed on Schedule A and Schedule B.²

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, we find that these thirteen actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization in the Southern District of West Virginia will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. The subject actions share factual issues arising from allegations that defects in surgical products manufactured by Cook to treat pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence cause

In addition to the actions encompassed by the motion, the Panel is aware of eleven additional actions pending against Cook in seven districts. These actions and any other related actions are potential tag-along actions. *See* Panel Rules 1.1(h), 7.1, and 7.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cook Incorporated, Cook Biotech Incorporated, Cook Medical Incorporated, Cook Group Incorporated, Vance Products Incorporated (d/b/a Cook Urological Incorporated), William A. Cook Australia Pty., Ltd., Cook (Canada), Inc., and Cook Ireland, Ltd. (collectively "Cook").

The motion originally encompassed 42 actions, 27 of which were pending in Southern District of West Virginia. Twenty-five of these 27 actions have been dismissed or no longer name a Cook entity as a defendant, and the remaining two actions already have been transferred to the Southern District of West Virginia as part of another pelvic mesh MDL. Five of the actions encompassed by the motion—listed on Schedule B—have been placed on a conditional transfer order in MDL No. 2326 or MDL No. 2327, which involve allegations of defects in pelvic repair products manufactured by other entities. Cook and Gore have moved to partially vacate the conditional transfer orders in these actions and seek separation and remand of the claims against them. These motions are addressed in separate orders.

injuries to women who are implanted with the products. Centralization will eliminate duplicative discovery, prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel, and the judiciary. Centralization is consistent with our recent decisions creating separate pelvic repair product MDLs involving defendants American Medical Systems, Inc. (AMS), Boston Scientific Corp. (Boston Scientific), and Ethicon, Inc. (and entities related thereto), see In re: Am. Med. Sys., Inc., Pelvic Repair Sys. Prods. Liab. Litig., 844 F. Supp. 2d 1359, 1360 (J.P.M.L. 2012), as well as our earlier decisions in In re: Avaulta Pelvic Support Sys. Prods. Liab. Litig., 746 F. Supp. 2d 1362, 1363 (J.P.M.L. 2010); and our most recent decision in In re: Coloplast Corp. Pelvic Support Sys. Prods. Liab. Litig., 883 F. Supp. 2d 1348, 1348 (J.P.M.L. 2012). In choosing to centralize MDL Nos. 2325 (AMS), 2326 (Boston Scientific), and 2327 (Ethicon) in the Southern District of West Virginia, as it had done in MDL No. 2187 (C.R. Bard, Inc.), the Panel noted that several actions were pending involving plaintiffs who had been implanted with multiple pelvic mesh products manufactured by multiple defendant groups. See In re AMS, 844 F. Supp. 2d at 1361 ("it is beneficial in this litigation for a particular action involving claims against multiple manufacturers to remain whole and proceed as one action.").

Opponents of centralization variously argue, inter alia, that (1) the unique facts of each individual plaintiff's case will predominate over common facts; (2) the number of related actions does not justify the creation of a new MDL; (3) the parties can informally coordinate the small number of actions pending; and (4) centralization will prejudice Cook and Gore, as they will not be allowed to defend the claims against them in an expeditious manner, because the creation of a new MDL will encourage additional filings by plaintiffs. In its briefs, Cook seeks to distinguish its products from the "pelvic mesh" products at issue in the MDLs already pending in the Southern District of West Virginia. Regardless of whether the Cook products are "pelvic mesh" like the products at issue in the MDLs in West Virginia, there will be overlapping discovery and pretrial proceedings as to the claims against Cook, which are fairly typical of the claims involved in the West Virginia pelvic mesh MDLs. Whether Cook's products are more or less likely to cause injury than those manufactured by other defendant groups is a question of liability more appropriately addressed to the court which oversees those claims. Our inquiry is far more limited. In centralizing MDL Nos. 2325, 2326, 2327, and 2387 in the Southern District of West Virginia, we did not seek to determine whether the products manufactured by each defendant group were similar to any significant degree. Indeed, we chose to keep each MDL as a separate litigation. Rather, we found that the prospect of many multiproduct/multi-defendant actions suggested that a single transferee forum for the five MDLs would provide for efficient case management of those actions.

Though these actions present some individual issues of fact, this is usually true of products liability cases and medical device cases, in particular. *See In re: Zimmer Duron Hip Cup Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 717 F. Supp. 2d 1376, 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2010). We find the unique facts presented by individual plaintiffs to be less significant than the fact that these actions share core issues of fact concerning the design, manufacture, testing, and marketing of Cook's pelvic repair products.

After consulting with the transferee judge, the Panel determined to assign each multi-product/multi-defendant action to the MDL involving the first-named defendant in that action.

We are persuaded that the number of pending cases warrants Section 1407 centralization in these circumstances, given that discovery and pretrial proceedings will overlap to a significant degree. While efforts to informally coordinate the pending actions are commendable, transfer under Section 1407 has the salutary effect of placing all actions in this docket before a single judge who can formulate a pretrial program that ensures that pretrial proceedings will be conducted in a manner leading to the just and expeditious resolution of all actions to the overall benefit of the parties. The transferee court can employ any number of pretrial techniques—such as establishing separate discovery and/or motion tracks—to efficiently manage this litigation. In any event, we leave the extent and manner of coordination or consolidation of these actions to the discretion of the transferee court. See In re: Mut. Funds Inv. Litig., 310 F. Supp. 2d 1359 (J.P.M.L. 2004).

Defendants in the past have argued that the creation of an MDL will encourage the filing of numerous meritless actions. *See, e.g., In re: Seroquel Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 447 F. Supp. 2d 1376, 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2006). Indeed, Cook argues here that its products are not as susceptible to causing injury as the products manufactured by defendants in the pelvic mesh MDLs, suggesting that the claims against Cook are weaker than those against other manufacturer defendants. As in *Seroquel*, "[t]he response to such concerns more properly inheres in assigning all related actions to one judge committed to disposing of spurious claims quickly." *Id.* 

We conclude that the Southern District of West Virginia is an appropriate transferee district for pretrial proceedings in this litigation. Several multi-product, multi-defendant actions involving Cook products are already before Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in one or more of the pelvic mesh MDLs pending in his court. And some actions on the motion involve products of Cook as well as those of manufacturer defendants in the other pelvic mesh MDLs. Centralization in this district, therefore, will avoid the complications of having these multi-product, multi-defendant cases pending in more than one district. Severance of the claims against Cook and transfer to a different transferee court would run afoul of our previous determination to transfer multi-product, multi-defendant pelvic repair product actions to the MDL involving the defendant first named in the complaint. *See In re: AMS*, 844 F. Supp. 2d at 1361. Transfer of multi-product, multi-defendant cases in their entirety to a new transferee court likely would prejudice the non-Cook defendants named in those actions and almost certainly would disrupt the ongoing pretrial proceedings in the Southern District of West Virginia. Centralization in the Southern District of West Virginia eliminates these complications, and will allow the transferee court to continue the efficient supervision of pretrial proceedings in all related actions.

Defendant Gore argues against inclusion of the claims against it in centralized proceedings in this docket. As Gore is only named in the Middle District of Georgia *Sitten* action, and we have determined in a separate order to transfer that action to MDL No. 2327—denying defendants' motions to partially vacate the conditional transfer order—Gore's arguments regarding the inclusion of *Sitten* in MDL No. 2440 are moot. *See In re: Ethicon*, MDL No. 2327, Transfer Order (J.P.M.L. Jun. 11, 2013).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A are transferred to the Southern District of West Virginia, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Joseph R. Goodwin for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.

# PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

olin G. Heyburn II

Chairman

Kathryn H. Vratil Paul J. Barbadoro Charles R. Breyer W. Royal Furgeson, Jr. Marjorie O. Rendell Lewis A. Kaplan

# IN RE: COOK MEDICAL, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2440

#### **SCHEDULE A**

#### Middle District of Alabama

Debbie Elliot-Mercer v. Cook Group, Inc. et al., C.A. No.1:13-00096 Deborah G. Nolin, et al. v. Cook Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-00100

# Northern District of Alabama

Janice Flannagan, et al. v. American Medical Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00311

# Eastern District of Kentucky

Brenda L. Smith, et al. v. Cook, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:12-00229

# District of New Jersey

Patricia Heiser v. Cook Medical, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:13-01005

# Middle District of Tennessee

Alice J. Johnson v. Cook, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-01153 Sheila Mansfield v. Cook, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:12-01252 Sarah Ruth Dunnington, et al. v. Cook, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00014

# IN RE: COOK MEDICAL, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2440

#### **SCHEDULE B**

# Northern District of Alabama

Patricia Connell v. Cook Group Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:13-00274 Linda Pickard, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corporation, et al., C.A. No. 6:13-00308

# Middle District of Florida

Carol Sciarro v. Cook Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:13-00170

# Middle District of Georgia

Rebecca A. Sitten, et al. v. Johnson and Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 5:13-00045

# District of Montana

Marilyn M. Pittsley, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., C.A. No. 9:12-00196



Activity in Case MDL No. 2440 IN RE: Cook Medical, Inc., Pelvic Repair
System Products Liability Litigation Initial Transfer Order (Transferee Clerk)

JPMLCMECF to: JPMLCMDECF 06/11/2013 03:26 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

#### **United States**

# **United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation**

#### **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 6/11/2013 at 3:25 PM EDT and filed on 6/11/2013

Case Name: IN RE: Cook Medical, Inc., Pelvic Repair System Products Liability

Litigation

Case Number: MDL No. 2440

Filer:

Document

Number: No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

# TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Flannagan et al v. American Medical Systems, Inc.

et al

**Case Number:** ALN/5:13-cv-00311

Filer:

**Document**Number:
No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Mansfield v. Cook, Inc. et

al

**Case Number:** TNM/3:12-cv-01252

Filer:

**Document Number:**No document attached

Docket Text:

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

**MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED** 

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

HEISER v. COOK MEDICAL, INC. et

al

**Case Number:** NJ/1:13-cv-01005

Filer:

**Document** 

Case Name:

Number: No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

# **MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED**

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Dunnington et al v. Cook, Inc. et

al

**Case Number:** TNM/3:13-cv-00014

Filer:

Document Number:

No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11</u>.

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Smith et al v. Cook, Inc. et

ase rume.

Case Number: KYE/5:12-cv-00229

Filer:

Document Number:

No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Johnson v. Cook, Inc. et

al

**Case Number:** <u>TNM/3:12-cv-01153</u>

Filer:

**Document** 

Number: No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

# **MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED**

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a Letter to Transferee Clerks with relevant

information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u>

<u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.</u>

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Elliot-Mercer v. Cook Group, Inc. et

ic.

**Case Number:** ALM/1:13-cv-00096

Filer:

**Document** 

Number: No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the <u>District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11</u>.

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153,

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Nolin, et al v. Cook Group, Inc., et

al

**Case Number:** ALM/1:13-cv-00100

Filer:

**Document**Number:
No document attached

**Docket Text:** 

\*\*\*TEXT ONLY ENTRY\*\*\*

#### MINUTE ORDER -- TO TRANSFEREE CLERK: ACTION REQUIRED

A transfer order has been filed today in this litigation. The order is directed to your court for filing. Rule 2.1(d) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, states...An order to transfer or remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1407 shall be effective only upon its filing with the clerk of the transferee district court.

Information to assist in managing the MDL is available on the <u>Clerk Resources</u> page of the Panel website including: a <u>Letter to Transferee Clerks</u> with relevant information pertaining to transfer of files and termination and remand of transferred actions; and publications such as the <u>Ten Steps To Better Case</u> <u>Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks</u> and the District Court Clerks Manual - Multidistrict Litigation - Chapter 11.

Signed by Clerk of the Panel Jeffery N. Luthi on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

No public notice (electronic or otherwise) sent because the entry is private



Activity in Case MDL No. 2440 IN RE: Cook Medical, Inc., Pelvic Repair **System Products Liability Litigation** 

JPMLCMECF to: JPMLCMDECF

06/11/2013 03:25 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* There is no charge for viewing opinions.

#### **United States**

# **United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation**

# **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 6/11/2013 at 3:23 PM EDT and filed on 6/11/2013

IN RE: Cook Medical, Inc., Pelvic Repair System Products Liability **Case Name:** 

Litigation

MDL No. 2440 Case Number:

Filer:

**Document** 

<u>42</u> Number:

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT **LITIGATION**, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Flannagan et al v. American Medical Systems, Inc. **Case Name:** 

et al

Case Number: ALN/5:13-cv-00311

Filer:

**Document** 22 Number:

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (1G)

TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Mansfield v. Cook, Inc. et

**Case Number:** TNM/3:12-cv-01252

Filer:

Document Number: 22

# **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: HEISER v. COOK MEDICAL, INC. et

case Maine.

ai

**Case Number:** NJ/1:13-cv-01005

Filer:

Document

Number: 19

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Dunnington et al v. Cook, Inc. et

vame:

Case Number: TNM/3:13-cv-00014

Filer:

**Document** 22 Number:

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT **LITIGATION**, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Smith et al v. Cook, Inc. et

Case Name:

al

Case Number: KYE/5:12-cv-00229

Filer:

**Document** 

24 Number:

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT **LITIGATION**, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Johnson v. Cook, Inc. et

**Case Name:** 

al

Case Number: TNM/3:12-cv-01153

Filer:

**Document** 

22 Number:

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Elliot-Mercer v. Cook Group, Inc. et

Case Name:

**Case Number:** <u>ALM/1:13-cv-00096</u>

Filer:

Document Number: 22

# **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

Case Name: Nolin, et al v. Cook Group, Inc., et

asc Marrie.

**Case Number:** ALM/1:13-cv-00100

Filer:

**Document** 

Number:  $\frac{25}{}$ 

#### **Docket Text:**

TRANSFER ORDER re: pldg. ([1] in MDL No. 2440) Transferring 8 action(s) to Judge Joseph R. Goodwin in the S.D. West Virginia.

Signed by Judge John G. Heyburn II, Chairman, PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION, on 6/11/2013.

Associated Cases: MDL No. 2440, ALM/1:13-cv-00096, ALM/1:13-cv-00100, ALN/5:13-cv-00311, KYE/5:12-cv-00229, NJ/1:13-cv-01005, TNM/3:12-cv-01153, TNM/3:12-cv-01252, TNM/3:13-cv-00014 (JG)

MDL No. 2440 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

MDL No. 2440 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

ALN/5:13-cv-00311 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Michael J Farrell mjf@farrell3.com, ewl@farrell3.com, jlm@farrell3.com, mef@farrell3.com, tjw@farrell3.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

Erik W Legg ewl@farrell3.com

Melody H. Eagan meagan@lightfootlaw.com

David F Miceli dmiceli@simmonsfirm.com, lwooten@simmonsfirm.com

George R Talarico gtalarico@eapdlaw.com

John J. Foley jfoley@simmonsfirm.com

Leah B. Silverthorn lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, mvoss@woodmclaw.com

Harlan I Prater, IV hprater@lightfootlaw.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Brooke G. Malcom bmalcom@lightfootlaw.com

J. David Martin martin@copelandfranco.com

Robert D Segall segall@copelandfranco.com

Shannon L Holliday holliday@copelandfranco.com

ALN/5:13-cv-00311 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

TNM/3:12-cv-01252 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Glen G Reid, Jr greid@wyattfirm.com, jlnorris@wyattfirm.com, kfaughnan@wyattfirm.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

Neil D. Overholtz @awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, pbarr@awkolaw.com

Douglass A. Kreis dkreis@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, cbrumfield@awkolaw.com, dkreis@aws-law.com

J. Gerard Stranch, IV gstranch@branstetterlaw.com, calebb@branstetterlaw.com, lisac@branstetterlaw.com, pattim@branstetterlaw.com

Leah B. Silverthorn (Terminated) lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, mvoss@woodmclaw.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

James C. Bradshaw, III jbradshaw@wyattfirm.com

TNM/3:12-cv-01252 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

NJ/1:13-cv-01005 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Martin Daniel Crump martincrump@davisandfeder.com, latrisha.crump@daviscrump.com

Michael Coren mcoren@cprlaw.com

NJ/1:13-cv-01005 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

TNM/3:13-cv-00014 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Glen G Reid, Jr greid@wyattfirm.com, ilnorris@wyattfirm.com, kfaughnan@wyattfirm.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

Neil D. Overholtz@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, pbarr@awkolaw.com

Douglass A. Kreis dkreis@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, cbrumfield@awkolaw.com, dkreis@aws-law.com

J. Gerard Stranch, IV gstranch@branstetterlaw.com, calebb@branstetterlaw.com, lisac@branstetterlaw.com, pattim@branstetterlaw.com

Leah B. Silverthorn (Terminated) lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, mvoss@woodmclaw.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

James C. Bradshaw, III jbradshaw@wyattfirm.com

TNM/3:13-cv-00014 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

**KYE/5:12-cv-00229** Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

Neil D. Overholtz (Terminated) noverholtz@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, pbarr@awkolaw.com

Daniel E Danford ddanford@stites.com

Douglass A. Kreis dkreis@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, cbrumfield@awkolaw.com, dkreis@aws-law.com

Thomas K Herren tom.herren@herrenadams.com

John M Famularo jfamularo@stites.com

Bryan F. Aylstock (Terminated) baylstock@awkolaw.com, Jlindsey@awkolaw.com, baylstock@aws-law.com, churt@awkolaw.com

Leah B. Silverthorn (Terminated) lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, mvoss@woodmclaw.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

**KYE/5:12-cv-00229** Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

TNM/3:12-cv-01153 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Glen G Reid, Jr greid@wyattfirm.com, ilnorris@wyattfirm.com, kfaughnan@wyattfirm.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

Neil D. Overholtz @awkolaw.com, athane @awkolaw.com, pbarr@awkolaw.com

Douglass A. Kreis dkreis@awkolaw.com, athane@awkolaw.com, cbrumfield@awkolaw.com, dkreis@aws-law.com

J. Gerard Stranch, IV gstranch@branstetterlaw.com, calebb@branstetterlaw.com,

lisac@branstetterlaw.com, lisam@branstetterlaw.com, pattim@branstetterlaw.com

Leah B. Silverthorn (Terminated) lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, mvoss@woodmclaw.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

James C. Bradshaw, III jbradshaw@wyattfirm.com

TNM/3:12-cv-01153 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

ALM/1:13-cv-00096 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

James M Boyers jboyers@woodmclaw.com

James David Martin martin@copelandfranco.com

William James Doyle, II bill@doylelowther.com, kdavis@doylelowther.com

George R Talarico gtalarico@eapdlaw.com

Leah B. Silverthorn lsilverthorn@woodmclaw.com, myoss@woodmclaw.com

Christopher Cantrell @doylelowther.com

Jennifer L. Schuster jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Robert D Segall segall@copelandfranco.com

ALM/1:13-cv-00096 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

ALM/1:13-cv-00100 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Douglas B. King dking@woodmclaw.com, jschuster@woodmclaw.com

Benjamin H. Anderson ben@andersonlawoffices.net, Ben@andersonlawoffices.net, julie@andersonlawoffices.net, raquel@andersonlawoffices.net

DAVID B. THOMAS dthomas@tcspllc.com, rdavis@tcspllc.com

James David Martin martin@copelandfranco.com

Philip J. Combs pcombs@tcspllc.com

Bryan F. Aylstock baylstock@awkolaw.com, Jlindsey@awkolaw.com,

baylstock@aws-law.com, churt@awkolaw.com

SUSAN M. ROBINSON srobinson@tcspllc.com

Robert D Segall segall@copelandfranco.com

# ALM/1:13-cv-00100 Notice will not be electronically mailed to:

Johnson & Johnson 1 Johnson& Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:** Main Document

Original filename:n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:** 

[STAMP JPMLStamp\_ID=1090522767 [Date=6/11/2013] [FileNumber=382054-0]

[0c27a218eb6097eb23dbe886273cc92d38c17f56d1453145d9322fca4154d2bb0c22

08c77921d208896b855b02ab7ceddb0a171574fb994f80f87b8ab9fcd5e]]